# Heat capacity measurement of molten NaNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>2</sub>-KNO<sub>3</sub> by drop calorimetry

M. KAWAKAMI, K. SUZUKI, .S. YOKOYAMA, and T. TAKENAKA Department of Production Systems Engineering, Toyohashi University of Technology, Japan

Molten NaNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>2</sub>-KNO<sub>3</sub> mixture is used as a heat transfer medium at high temperature. One of the most important properties of this mixture is the heat capacity. In the present work, the enthalpy change with temperature was measured by the drop calorimetric method to evaluate the heat capacity. The results are summarized as follows:

The enthalpy of the mixture increased linearly with the temperature. From the slope of the line, the heat capacity was evaluated. The heat capacity of pure NaNO<sub>3</sub>, NaNO<sub>2</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> was 0.129, 0.110 and 0.142 kJ/mol/K, respectively. These values are in fairly good agreement with the published data. In the binary and ternary systems, the molar additivity of heat capacity, which is well known as Neumann-Kopp's law, was obtained. The heat capacity of HTS<sub>1</sub> and HTS<sub>2</sub>, which are well known ternary mixtures of practical use, were in the range of published data.

# Introduction

The HTS<sub>1</sub>(7 mass per cent NaNO<sub>3</sub>-40 mass per cent NaNO<sub>2</sub>-53 mass per cent KNO<sub>3</sub>) and HTS<sub>2</sub> (50 mole per cent NaNO<sub>3</sub>-50 mole per cent KNO<sub>3</sub>) are used as a heat transfer medium at high temperature such as in a petroleum refinery<sup>1</sup>. One of the most important physical properties is the heat capacity of the heat transfer medium. Although the heat capacity data of constituent single salt were published2-11, those of the mixture were very poor1,5,12-14. The heat capacity has been measured by drop calorimetric methods in which some kind of capsule like a platinum capsule was used<sup>11</sup>. But the capsulation process is complicated and leads to some errors. In the present work, the heat capacity was measured in a wide composition range of NaNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>2</sub>-KNO<sub>3</sub> system by the simplified drop calorimetric method in which the molten salt sample was directly dropped in to the calorimeter.

# **Experimental method**

## **Chemical reagent**

The used reagents of NaNO<sub>3</sub>, NaNO<sub>2</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> were of the best grade. These reagents are wettable. They have been dehydrated by keeping them at about 393 K in the evacuated chamber for ten hours<sup>2,5,8,9</sup>. In the present work, it was found that the weight became constant after keeping at 402 K in ambient atmosphere for 24 hours. In practice, the reagents were kept at 405 K for more than 24 hours before use. The reagents were weighed with the accuracy of 0.1 mg and mixed to the desired composition just before the measurement. The composition is shown in Figure 1.

In the binary system, the composition was 20 mole per cent plus  $HTS_2$  (50 mole per cent NaNO<sub>3</sub>-50 mole per cent KNO<sub>3</sub>). In the ternary system, the composition was selected on the connecting line of pure NaNO<sub>3</sub> and  $HTS_1$ .

# Apparatus

Figure 2 shows the experimental apparatus.

The calorimeter was composed of Dewar vessel, stirrer, plastic lid and thermistor tip. The Dewar vessel was of 100 mm inner diameter, 155 mm depth, and made of stainless steel. The stirrer was the impeller with a plastic blade of 10 mm  $\times$  20 mm and driven by the outside motor at 1 200 rpm. The plastic lid was made of foamed plastics and with a silica tube of 20 mm inner diameter through which the molten salt was dropped. The thermistor tip was used to measure the temperature of water in the vessel with good accuracy in a short temperature range. The tip was connected to the electric bridge circuit. The output of the circuit was calibrated with the Beckmann thermometer with 0.01 degree accuracy.

The salt melting unit was put on the calorimeter. It was composed of heating furnace, Tammann tube and stopper rode. The furnace was a resistance heating one of 30 mm inner diameter, 60 mm outer diameter, and 120 mm length. The Tammann tube was made of mullite and of 20 mm inner diameter and 120 mm length. The stopper of 36 mm



Figure 1. Experimental composition of salt on ternary diagram



Figure 2. Schematic diagram of experimental apparatus

outer diameter was fixed to the top of the tube by alumina cement in order to keep the molten salt at the isothermal zone of the furnace. On the bottom of tube, a small hole of 4 mm  $\phi$  was opened. The hole was closed by the silica tube of 6.3 mm outer diameter with one closed end. The Almel-Chromel thermocouple was inserted in the silica tube to measure the temperature of the molten salt.

The following procedure was adopted in order to obtain precisely 500.0 g of water in the Dewar vessel. At first, the distilled water was put into the measuring cylinder to the level of 500 ml. The weight was measured to the precision of 0.1 g. by the balance. The distilled water was poured into the Dewar vessel. The weight of the empty cylinder was measured again. From the difference between the two weights, the weight of poured water was obtained. The additional distilled water was supplied to the vessel with the measuring pipette up to 500.0 g precisely.

## Setting up of the apparatus

The calorimeter was set on the bottom of the framework. The furnace was fixed to the framework just above the calorimeter. The Tammann tube was fixed to the furnace, so that the centre of bottom hole of the tube would be on the central axis of the silica tube of the calorimeter. The bottom hole of the Tammann tube was closed tightly with the silica tube. The silica tube was fixed by the weight which was attached to the top of it. The thermocouple was inserted in to the silica tube. The thermal insulting sheet of stainless steel was inserted in the space between the calorimeter and the furnace.

# Procedure

The weight of the Tammann tube and silica stopper tube was measured before setting up by the electronic balance with the accuracy of 0.1 mg. The composition of the sample was adjusted by the preceding method. The sample weight was determined to 10.000 g by the preliminary measurement, so that the temperature change of calorimeter should be 2 to 4 degrees. The sample was inserted in the Tammann tube on which the ceramic fibre was put for thermal insulation. The furnace was heated to 650 K at first to confirm the complete melting of the sample mixture. Then, the temperature of the sample was adjusted to the desired one, keept for some time and stirred with a thin steel rod. After confirming the constant temperature of the sample, the stirrer of the calorimeter was switched on. After confirming the constant temperature rise in the calorimeter, the thermal insulating sheet between the colorimeter and the furnace was removed and the sample was dropped in the calorimeter. After the dropping, the thermal insulating sheet was inserted again. The temperature change was monitored until a temperature rise of the same rate as before the sample dropping was obtained. After the measurement, the Tamman tube and silica stopper tube were taken out from the furnace and their weight was measured with an accuracy of 0.1 mg. Comparing their weight before and after the measurement, the residual weight of salt was estimated and subtracted from the initial sample weight to obtain the weight of the dropped sample with an accuracy of 1 mg.

# Data processing

Figure 3 shows an example of the recorded chart of the thermistor circuit output.

The temperature of the calorimeter increased at a constant rate before the sample dropping. At the sample dropping, the temperature jumped up suddenly and increased gradually and finally at the same constant rate as that before the sample dropping. Extrapolating the curve down to the moment at which the sample dropped, the temperature increase, namely  $T_2$ — $T_1$ , by the sample drop was estimated. The heat absorbed by the calorimeter Qc was given by

$$Qc = W_o(T_2 - T_1)$$
<sup>[1]</sup>

Where,  $W_O$  is the water equivalent of the calorimeter. This heat should be liberated from the salt sample during the change from molten state at temperature *T* to dissolved state in water at  $T_2$ . Therefore,

$$H_T - H_{T2}(aq.) = QcM/W$$
<sup>[2]</sup>

Where M is the formula weight of the salt sample and W is the weight of sample. The formula of the mixture was given by



Figure 3. An example of recorder output from the thermistor circuit in the calorimeter

$$M = \sum M_i X_i$$
[3]

Where,  $M_i$  is the formula weight of pure salt 'i' and  $X_i$  in the mole fraction of 'i' in the system.

Figure 4 shows the schematic diagram of  $H_T$ — $H_{T2}(aq.)$  as a function of temperature.

It is seen from the figure that the  $H_T$ — $H_{T2}(aq.)$  includes the heat of dissolution to water  $\Delta H_{sol.}$ . In order to obtain the standard enthalpy change, the heat of dissolution and  $H_{T2}$ — $H_{298}$ , which is the enthalpy change of solid phase, should be evaluated and corrected. Namely,

$$H_{T} - H_{298} = H_{T} - H_{T2}(aq.) - \Delta H_{sol.} + H_{T2} - H_{298}$$
[4]

## Determination of water equivalent and

The water equivalent of the calorimeter was determined using the pure copper piece which was a cylinder with cone head in shape. This piece was heated in the alumina crucible of 43 mm inner diameter and 37 mm depth using a resistance furnace other than that shown in Figure 2. After reaching constant temperature, the crucible was taken out of the furnace and brought to the calorimeter. The copper piece was taken out and was thrown into the calorimeter. The temperature change of calorimeter  $\Delta Tc$  was obtained in the same way as above. Then, the water equivalent was obtained by

$$W_o = Qs / \Delta Tc$$
 [5]

Where Qs is the heat brought by the copper piece to the calorimeter and given by

$$Qs = w_s c_{ps} dT - Q_{loss}$$
<sup>[6]</sup>

Where  $c_{ps}$  is the heat capacity of copper,  $w_s$  is the mass of copper piece and  $Q_{loss}$  is the heat loss during transfer to the calorimeter.

# Determination of enthalpy change of the solid phase

50

40

30

20

10

0 -10 -20 298K

300

 $H_T - H_{T2}^{aq}$  (kJ/mol)

NaNO<sub>3</sub>

The solid piece with the respective composition was made by the following method. The reagents were weighed and mixed to the desired composition. The mixture was melted at 650 K, cast into the mould and solidified in the desiccator. The solidified piece was cylindrical and of 16 mm  $\phi$  and 30 mm length. The solid piece was put into the same alumina crucible as above. The total weight of the solid piece and crucible was measured with an accuracy of



Temp. (K)

400

0.1 mg. The crucible with the solid piece was heated in the same furnace as used in the determination of water equivalent. After reaching the constant temperature, the solid piece was taken out and thrown into the calorimeter in the same way as above. After the piece dropped, the total weight of the crucible and residual solid piece was measured again. The difference between the weights before and after the experiment gave the weight of the solid piece thrown into the calorimeter. The enthalpy change of the solid phase was estimated in the same way as in the case of the liquid phase. The temperature range was 290 to 315 K.

# **Result and discussions**

# Water equivalent

Two kinds of copper piece were used. One piece was of 47.428 g and the other 135.214 g. The temperature before dropping into the calorimeter was 372 to 422 K. The heat capacity data of pure copper were expressed as a linear function of temperature using the data of 0.383 J/g/K at 293 K and 0.398J/g/K at 373 K<sup>15</sup> and used for the calculation of Equation [6]. The value of  $Q_{loss}$  was about 0.2 per cent as will be explained later. The corrected data of the water equivalent are shown in Table I. Taking the total average, the water equivalent was determined as 2.199 kJ/K.

### Heat of dissolution and enthalpy of the solid phase

Assuming that the enthalpy of the solid phase should be expressed by the linear function of temperature, the enthalpy change was plotted against  $T = 298 + T_s - T_2$ , where  $T_s$  is the temperature of the solid piece before dropping. The enthalpy change at 298 K should be the dissolution heat of salt into water. Then the subtraction of the dissolution heat from the enthalpy change will give the standard enthalpy of the solid phase,  $H_T - H_{298}$ . The heat of dissolution and  $H_T - H_{298}$  are summarized in Table II.

The literature data for heat of dissolution were -20.50 kJ/mol for NaNO<sub>3</sub>, -13.89 kJ/mol for NaNO<sub>2</sub>, and -34.9kJ/mol for KNO<sub>3</sub><sup>15</sup>. The results of the present work were in fairly good accordance with them. The value of a in Table II can be considered as the heat capacity of the solid phase. The literature data for heat capacity for pure salts, HTS<sub>1</sub> and HTS<sub>2</sub> are compared with the present results in Table III.

 Table I

 Water equivalent of calorimeter

Piece Weight, g	Temperature, K	Water Eq. kJ/K	
47.428	374.03	2.202	
	381.64	2.198	
	381.25	2.198	
	379.67	2.197	
	378.93	2.196	
	380.76	2.202	
	379.45	2.203	
135.214	416.11	2.205	
	422.22	2.195	
	408.16	2.200	
	390.31	2.198	
	387.13	2.198	
	383.83	2.203	
	376.57	2.194	
	372.16	2.200	
	375.79	2.196	
	376.07	2.202	

581

M.P.

600

SOLID

500

LIOUID

	Table II			
Heat of dissolution into	water and	enthalpy	of solid	phase

Compositio	n, mol%		DH <sub>sol.</sub>	HT-H298 =
			kJ/mol	aT–b
NaNO <sub>3</sub>	NaNO <sub>2</sub>	KNO <sub>3</sub>		a
100	0	0	-20.971	0.123
			(-20.50)	
0	100	0	-14.478	0.123
			(-13.89)	
0	0	100	-35.653	0.131
			(-34.9)	
20.00	80.00	0.00	-15.361	0.119
40.00	60.00	0.00	-16.327	0.126
60.00	40.00	0.00	-16.822	0.114
80.00	20.00	0.00	-18.163	0.141
0.00	20.00	80.00	-30.703	0.112
0.00	40.00	60.00	-26.571	0.119
0.00	60.00	40.00	-22.569	0.118
0.00	80.00	20.00	-18.651	0.078
80.00	0.00	20.00	-23.479	0.112
60.00	0.00	40.00	-26.207	0.102
50.00	0.00	50.00	-27.420	0.143
40.00	0.00	60.00	-29.036	0.103
20.00	0.00	80.00	-32.372	0.137
6.94	48.87	44.19	-23.984	0.101
0.00	52.50	47.50	-24.540	0.126
20.00	42.00	38.00	-23.015	0.102
40.00	31.50	28.50	-21.576	0.132
60.00	21.00	19.00	-22.010	0.128
80.00	10.50	9.50	-20.361	0.131

The values in parentheses are from literature<sup>15</sup>

Table IIIHeat capacity of solid phase

	c <sub>p</sub> (s), J	c <sub>p</sub> (s), J/K/mol		
	Present work	Literature		
NaNO <sub>3</sub>	123	922), 1316)		
NaNO <sub>2</sub>	123	1338)		
KNO <sub>3</sub>	131	952), 1329)		
HTS <sub>1</sub>	101	1131)		
HTS <sub>2</sub>	143	1276), 13213)		

For NaNO<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub>, the present result was within the published data. For NaNO<sub>2</sub>, the present result was slightly smaller than that of Cases<sup>8</sup>. The present result for HTS<sub>1</sub> was slightly smaller than that of Kirst *et al.*<sup>1</sup>. On the contrary, the present result for HTS<sub>2</sub> was slightly larger than the literature data. Although there were some differences, they were not so large. Therefore, the heat of dissolution and heat capacity of the solid phase shown here were used to estimate the standard enthalpy of the liquid phase.

# The standard enthalpy of the liquid phase

Figure 5 shows the standard enthalpy of pure salts.

It changed linearly with temperature, showing the constant heat capacity within the experimental temperature range. The standard enthalpy of NaNO<sub>3</sub> was the largest among them. That of KNO<sub>3</sub> was the second and that of

204

NaNO<sub>2</sub> was the smallest. Their temperature dependency was given by

For NaNO<sub>3</sub>,

$$H_T - H_{298} = 0.129(\pm 0.003)T -$$

$$21.321(\pm 1.517) \text{ kJ/mol}$$
[7]

For NaNO<sub>2</sub>,

$$H_T - H_{298} = 0.110(\pm 0.003)T -$$
  
13.267(±1.883) kJ/mol [8]

For KNO<sub>3</sub>,

$$H_{T} - H_{298} = 0.142(\pm 0.005)T -$$
  
32.149(±3.086) kJ/mol [9]

The figures in parentheses show the standard deviation of the least squares method

Figure 6 shows the standard enthalpy of the NaNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>2</sub> binary system.

The data of each composition seemed to coincide with each other except for 80 mole percent  $NaNO_3$  where the data was the largest. The data can be expressed by the linear function of temperature. It can be seen that the slope



Figure 5. Standard enthalpy of pure liquid salts



Figure 6. Standard enthalpy of liquid NaNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>2</sub> system

increased with the mole per cent of NaNO<sub>3</sub> in this system. Figure 7 shows the standard enthalpy of the NaNO<sub>2</sub>-KNO<sub>3</sub> binary system.

In this system, the data of each composition seemed also to coincide with each other. The linearity of the data seemed better than that in the NaNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>2</sub> system. The slope increased clearly with the mole per cent of KNO<sub>3</sub>. Figure 8 shows the standard enthalpy of the KNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>3</sub> binary system.

The enthalpy increased linearly with temperature as the other systems. Although the absolute value increased with NaNO<sub>3</sub> content, the slope of the line did not seem to change so much.

## Heat capacity of the liquid phase

The heat capacity can be obtained by differentiating the standard enthalpy from the absolute temperature. As shown above, all the data of the standard enthalpy in the present work had a linear relation with the temperature. Therefore, the slope of the lines shown in Figures 6 to 8 gives the heat capacity. Table IV shows the heat capacity of pure salts,  $HTS_1$  and  $HTS_2$ .



Figure 7. Standard enthalpy of liquid NaNO<sub>2</sub>-KNO<sub>3</sub> system



Figure 8. Standard enthalpy of liquid KNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>3</sub> system

Table IV also shows the literature data for the respective materials. The present data were smaller than the published data for NaNO<sub>3</sub>. Although the published data scattered from 100 to 120 J/K/mol, the present data were in the middle of them for NaNO<sub>2</sub>. The published data were mostly around 140 J/K/mol for KNO<sub>3</sub>. The present data accorded well with them. Although the published data were 131 and 132 J/K/mol for HTS<sub>1</sub>, the present result was slightly smaller. The literature data for HTS<sub>2</sub> scattered widely from 136 to 155 J/K/mol.The present result was just in the middle of them. All the other binary and ternary data obtained in the present work are summarized in Table V.

In Table V, the liquidus temperature is also shown. It was determined by thermo-analysis for the respective compositions. Figure 9 shows the change of heat capacity with composition in NaNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>2</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>3</sub> systems.

In both systems, the data of the mixture lie on the straight line connecting those of the pure constituents. This is called the Neumann-Kopp's law in which the heat capacity of the mixture should be the sum of the heat capacities of the constituent elements. Figure 10 shows the change of heat capacity with composition in the NaNO<sub>2</sub>-KNO<sub>3</sub> system.

Neumann-Kopp's law can also be seen in this binary system. In Figure 10, the data by Iwadate *et al.*<sup>7</sup> were shown. They are in good accordance with the present results.

Table IV Heat capacity of liquid phase

	cp(s), J/K/mol		
	present work	literature	
NaNO <sub>3</sub>	129±3	1402, 1393, 1554	
NaNO <sub>2</sub>	110±3	1192, 1145, 1177, 1008	
KNO <sub>3</sub>	142±5	1402, 1423, 1417, 1389, 13010, 14111	
HTS <sub>1</sub>	126±2	1316, 13210	
HTS <sub>2</sub>	139±2	13612, 14213, 15514	

 Table V

 Heat capacity of liquid phase in binary and ternary systems

Composition, mol%		Liquidus temp., K	Cp(l), J/K/mol	
NaNO <sub>3</sub>	NaNO <sub>2</sub>	KNO <sub>3</sub>	-	
20.00	80.00	0.00	520	118±4
40.00	60.00	0.00	500	122±4
60.00	40.00	0.00	519	125±8
80.00	20.00	0.00	549	129±4
0.00	20.00	80.00	531	134±3
0.00	40.00	60.00	420	128±2
0.00	60.00	40.00	410	119±2
0.00	80.00	20.00	487	113±2
80.00	0.00	20.00	542	134±5
60.00	0.00	40.00	504	134±5
50.00	0.00	50.00	495	139±2
40.00	0.00	60.00	497	138±2
20.00	0.00	80.00	555	136±5
20.00	42.00	38.00	429	120±2
40.00	31.50	28.50	455	128±8
60.00	21.00	19.00	502	127±5
80.00	10.50	9.50	545	131±2



Figure 9. Heat capacity of liquid NaNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>2</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub>. NaNO<sub>3</sub> systems



Figure 10. Heat capacity of liquid NaNO<sub>2</sub>-KNO<sub>3</sub> system

Figure 11 shows the change of heat capacity with NaNO<sub>3</sub> content in the NaNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>2</sub>-KNO<sub>3</sub> ternary system along the line passing the composition of  $HTS_1$  as shown in Figure 1. The broken line shows the sum of the heat capacities of the constituent elements. Therefore, Neumann-Kopp's law can also be seen in this ternary system.

### Estimation of heat loss during the experiment

#### Experiment with liquid phase salt

The heat will be lost during pouring of liquid salt from the Tammann tube trough the hole to the calorimeter. One is the convective heat loss and the other is the radiative heat loss. The former is estimated as follows. The amount of convective heat loss is given by

$$Q_{con.} = \int_{0}^{t_{f}} \alpha A \Delta T dt$$
[10]

where,  $t_f$  is the dropping time of salt,  $\alpha$  is the heat transfer coefficient between the falling salt column and ambient air, A is the surface area of the falling column, and  $\Delta T$  is the temperature difference between the falling salt and ambient air. Assuming the parallel flow of air along the falling column, the heat transfer coefficient is given by

$$Nu = \frac{\alpha d}{\lambda} = 0.56 c R e^m P r^{0.31}$$
<sup>[11]</sup>

where, *Nu* is the Nusselt number, *d* is the diameter of the column,  $\lambda$  is the thermal conductivity of air, *Re* is the Reynolds number, *Pr* is the Prandtl number, and *c* and *m* are coefficients depending on the range of *Re*. In the case where the molten salt of 600 K passed through the ambient air of 298 K, using the following data of *v*=0.312×10<sup>-4</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s,  $\lambda$ =0.034 J/m/s/K, *Pr*=0.71 for air at the average temperature of molten salt and ambient air<sup>16</sup> and *d*=0.004 m, *A*=0.0005 m<sup>2</sup>, *u*=0.44 m/s, *t<sub>f</sub>*=3 s for falling salt column, the *Re* was calculated as 56.4. Then, *c*=0.615 and *m*=0.466 were obtained from the literature<sup>16</sup>. Then, the *Nu* was calculated as 8.14 and  $\alpha$  was 0.070 kJ/m2/s/K. Finally, the convective heat loss, *Q<sub>con</sub>*. was estimated as 0.003 kJ.



Figure 11. Heat capacity of liquid NaNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>2</sub>-KNO<sub>3</sub>

The latter is estimated by the following Equation.

$$Q_{rad.} = \int_0^{tf} \varepsilon \sigma F T^4 dt$$
<sup>[12]</sup>

where,  $\sigma$  is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, 5.68×10<sup>-11</sup> kJ/m<sup>2</sup>/s/K<sup>4</sup>,  $\varepsilon$  is the emissivity. The emissivity data of the present molten salt was not found. Therefore, the data of water which is the largest among liquids was used, namely,  $\varepsilon$ =0.95<sup>16</sup>. Then the radiative heat loss,  $Q_{rad.}$  was estimated as 0.010 kJ.

The total heat loss was estimated as 0.013 kJ. Since the heat absorbed by the calorimeter Qc was approximately 7kJ, the error due to the heat loss during dropping was estimated as 0.2 per cent.

## Determination of water equivalent

The convective heat loss is also estimated by Equation [10] also in this case. However, the relative flow condition was different from the falling salt column but perpendicular to the flow against the copper piece. In this case, the coefficients c in Equation [11] is 1.11. In the case where the copper piece of 373 K was dropped through the ambient air of 298 K, the data of  $v=0.196\times10^{-4}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s,  $\lambda=0.029$  J/m/s/K, *Pr*=0.71 for air at the average temperature of the copper piece and ambient air. Using the data of d=0.015 m, A=0.002 m<sup>2</sup>, u=0.1 m/s and  $t_f$ =3 s, the convective heat loss,  $Q_{con.}$  was estimated as 0.006 kJ. The radiative heat loss was estimated by Equation [12]. The emissivity of copper was taken from the literature as 0.052. Then the radiative heat loss,  $Q_{rad.}$  was estimated as 0.001 kJ. The total heat loss was estimated as 0.00 7kJ. Since the heat absorbed by the calorimeter  $Q_c$  was approximately 3.5 kJ, the error due to the heat loss during dropping was estimated as 0.2 per cent.

## Experiment with solid phase salt

The temperature difference between the solid salt sample and the ambient air was so small that any heat loss was not taken into account.

# Conclusion

- A simplified drop calorimetric method was proposed to measure the heat capacity of molten salt mixtures.
- The standard enthalpy of molten NaNO<sub>3</sub>-NaNO<sub>2</sub>-KNO<sub>3</sub> mixture and heat of dissolution of the salts into water was obtained.
- The heat capacity of pure NaNO<sub>3</sub>, NaNO<sub>2</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub> was  $0.129 \pm 0.003$ ,  $0.110 \pm 0.003$  and  $0.142 \pm 0.005$  kJ/mol/K, respectively. These data are in good agreement with the literature data.

- The heat capacity of HTS<sub>1</sub> was  $0.126 \pm 0.002$  kJ/K/mol which was slightly smaller than the literature data. That of HTS<sub>2</sub> was  $0.139 \pm 0.002$  kJ/K/mol which was in the middle of the literature data.
- Neumann-Kopp's law was confirmed for the molten salt mixture of the present composition.

# References

- KIRST, W.E., NAGLE, W.M., and CASTNER, J.B. *Trans. Am. Inst. Chem. Engr.* vol. 36 1979, pp. 371–394.
- **2.** CARLING, R.W. *Themochimica Acta*, vol. 60 1983, pp. 265–275.
- **3.** ICHIKAWA, K. and MATSUMOTO, T. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn*, vol. 56 1983, pp. 2093–2100.
- 4. DWORKIN, A.S. and BREDIG, M.A. J. Phys. Chem., vol. 67 1963, p. 697.
- 5. Kamimoto, M. *Themochimica Acta*, vol. 41 1980, pp. 361–369.
- 6. JANZ, G.J. and TRUONG, G.N. J. Chem. Eng. Data, vol. 28 1983, pp. 201–202.
- 7. IWADATE, Y., OKADA, I., and KAWAMURA, K. *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, vol. 27 1981, pp. 288–290.
- **8.** CASES, J.C. *Revue De Chimie Minerale*, vol. 10 1973 pp. 577–583.
- **9.** CLARK, R.P. J. Chem. Eng. Data, vol. 18 1973, pp. 67–70.
- 10. DAGLAS, T.B. Trans. ASME, vol. 79 1957, p. 23.
- **11.** GOODWIN, H.M. and KALMUS, T.H. *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 28 1909, p. 1.
- **12.** KAMIMOTO, M. *Themochimica Acta*, vol. 49 1981, pp. 319–331.
- **13.** NGUYEN-DUY, P. and DANCY, E.A. *Themochimica Acta*, vol. 39 1980, p. 95.
- VOSKRESENSKAYA, N.K., YANKOVSKAYA, G.N., and ANOSOV, V.Y. *Zh. Prikl. Khim.* vol. 21 1948, pp. 18–25
- **15.** *Kagaku-binnran* (in Japanese), ed. by Japan Chemical Society, 1975 pp. 924–25.
- **16.** *Kikaikougaku-binnrann* (in Japanese), ed. by the Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers, vol. 2 1976, p. 10.